FILEDY (17 SEP 10) 08:42USDC-0RP

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ALICE MAKER, 06-CV-731-PK

Plaintiff, ORDER

v.

CITY OF TILLAMOOK, OREGON, and OFFICER STEVE SMALL,

Defendants.

BENJAMIN WRIGHT HAILE

Haile Eiva LLP 620 S.W. Main Suite 616 Portland, OR 97205 503 228-1889

Attorneys for Plaintiff

GERALD L. WARREN

280 Liberty Street S.E. Suite 206 Salem, OR 97301 (503) 480-7252

Attorney for Defendant

1 - ORDER

BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Paul Papak issued Findings and Recommendation (#37) on June 21, 2007, in which he recommended the Court deny Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (#11) and deny Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (#26). Plaintiff and Defendants filed timely objections to the Findings and Recommendation. The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate

Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make

a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's

report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). See also United States v.

Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988); McDonnell Douglas

Corp. v. Commodore Business Mach., Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th

Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

This Court has carefully considered both parties' Objections and concludes they do not provide a basis to modify the Findings and Recommendation. This Court also has reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and does not find any error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

CONCLUSION

The Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and Recommendation (#37), **DENIES** Defendants' Motion for Summary

Judgment (#11), and **DENIES** Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (#26).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 6th day of September, 2007.

ANNA J. BROWN

United States District Judge